

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

ASIA JOHNSON, )  
Plaintiff, )  
 )  
v. ) Civil Action No. 19-813  
 )  
BEYONCE JAYZ, ) Judge Nora Barry Fischer  
 )  
Defendant. )

**MEMORANDUM ORDER**

AND NOW, this 11<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2019, upon consideration of the Motion for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis filed by pro se Plaintiff Asia Johnson, (Docket No. [1]), and the accompanying Complaint,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that said Motion [1] is GRANTED as to the In Forma Pauperis Status of pro se Plaintiff Asia Johnson ONLY.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the above captioned matter is dismissed, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) as this action is frivolous and for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

In so holding, the Court notes that 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) requires that a District Court review pleadings filed by individuals who are granted in forma pauperis status and mandates that “the court shall dismiss the case at any time if the court determines that … the action … is frivolous or malicious; fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted; or seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief.” 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). Therefore, pursuant to this statute, the Court must dismiss a case “if it lacks arguable merit in fact or law.”

*Stackhouse v. Crocker*, 266 F.App’x. 189 (2008) (citing *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325, 109 S.Ct. 1827, 104 L.Ed.2d 338 (1989)). The standard of review for failure to state a claim

under section 1915(e)(2) is the same as under Rule 12(b)(6). *See D'Agostino v. CECON RDEC*, 2011 WL 2678876, at \*3 (3d Cir. 2011) (citing *Tourscher v. McCullough*, 184 F.3d 236, 240 (3d Cir. 1999)). That is, the allegations in a pro se plaintiff's complaint must be liberally construed, *see Erickson v. Pardus*, 551 U.S. 89, 94, 127 S.Ct. 2197, 167 L.Ed.2d 1081 (2007), and the Court must "accept all factual allegations in the complaint as true, [and] construe the complaint in the light most favorable to the plaintiff," *see Phillips v. County of Allegheny*, 515 F.3d 224, 233 (3d Cir. 2008). However, a pro se complaint must be dismissed if it does not allege "enough facts to state a claim for relief that is plausible on its face." *Bell Atlantic v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570, 127 S.Ct. 1955, 167 L.Ed.2d 929 (2007); *see also Capogrosso v. Rabner*, 588 F.3d 180, 184-85 (3d Cir. 2009) (applying *Twombly* and *Iqbal* standard to pro se complaints). Finally, "if a complaint is subject to a Rule 12(b)(6) dismissal, a district court must permit a curative amendment unless such an amendment would be inequitable or futile." *Phillips*, 515 F.3d at 245.

As with several of Plaintiff's prior lawsuits dismissed by this Court,<sup>1</sup> and this Court's colleagues,<sup>2</sup> Plaintiff's rambling and incoherent Complaint alleging unspecified "human rights" violations against "Beyonce Jayz Carter" lacks arguable merit in fact or law, making it frivolous and subject to dismissal. *Brookins v. Cty. of Allegheny*, 350 F. App'x 639, 642 (3d Cir. 2009) (quoting *Denton v. Hernandez*, 504 U.S. 25, 33, 112 S.Ct. 1728, 118 L.Ed.2d 340 (1992) (citations omitted)) ("A court may discredit allegations that are 'fanciful, fantastic, and delusional' and thus may dismiss a complaint as factually frivolous when the facts alleged 'rise to the level of the irrational or wholly incredible.'"); *see Johnson*, 745 F. App'x at 446 ("After

---

<sup>1</sup> See e.g., *Johnson v. Trump*, Civ. A. No. 18-970, Docket No. 2 (W.D. Pa. Jul. 25, 2018), *aff'd* 745 F. App'x 445 (3d Cir. 2018); *Johnson v. Queen Elizabeth*, Civ. A. No. 19-566, Docket No. 2 (W.D. Pa. May 14, 2019); *Johnson v. Supreme Court*, Civ. A. No. 19-566, Docket No. 2 (W.D. Pa. May 14, 2019); *Johnson v. Resolve*, Civ. A. No. 19-609, Docket No. 2 (W.D. Pa. May 28, 2019); *Johnson v. Harris*, Civ. A. No. 18-610, Docket No. 2 (W.D. Pa. May 11, 2018), *aff'd*, Appeal No. 18-2329 (3d Cir. 2019).

<sup>2</sup> See e.g., *Johnson v. Powhatan*, 747 F. App'x 110 (3d Cir. 2019); *Johnson v. Wylie*, 733 F. App'x 31 (3d Cir. 2018); *Johnson v. Rothschild*, 731 F. App'x 87 (3d Cir. 2018); *Johnson v. Young Money*, 745 F. App'x 441 (3d Cir. 2018); *Johnson v. Lowery*, 745 F. App'x 442 (3d Cir. 2018).

reviewing Johnson's filings in the District Court and on appeal, we agree that the complaint lacks an arguable basis in law and fact, and we therefore conclude that the District Court correctly dismissed the complaint pursuant to § 1915(e)(2)(B)."). It is also clear that leave to amend would be futile. *Id.*

For all of these reasons, this matter is DISMISSED as frivolous and for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

FINALLY, IT IS ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall mark this action CLOSED.

*s/Nora Barry Fischer*  
Nora Barry Fischer  
United States District Judge

cc: Asia Johnson  
(by email to: [asiajohnson710@icloud.com](mailto:asiajohnson710@icloud.com))